

From: sw052382 sw052382@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Going forward
Date: June 22, 2018 at 4:20 PM
To: Steve Russell sgrussell@pm.me

I am getting mixed messages as to Tara's intentions and will need to discuss further - we'd like her to commit to staying with us as we've discussed- I'll call you sometime tomorrow if that's okay

Sent from my Sprint Samsung Galaxy Note8.

----- Original message -----
From: Steve Russell <sgrussell@pm.me>
Date: 6/22/18 3:49 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: sw052382 <sw052382@gmail.com>
Subject: Going forward

Based on my conversations with Tara it's pretty clear that she intends on violating the CA restraining order and I think it might just be best to go to actual mediation next month to get this finished the fastest and create something lasting, clear, and enforceable.

Ideally you and I could simply draft something to submit to a mediator and avoid a lot of back and forth, but I also think it might be therapeutic in a way to let her have a venue to share her concerns openly and honestly. I don't mind.

And though her proposal didn't seem viable to me, there were some items in it that gave me a few ideas on how to structure a new version of what I sent you.

So bad news...I am going to seek to enforce the restraining order and go to mediation. That means Tara and Baby would need to come back to SF for End of June / July at least during the mediation. My bother, his wife, mom, Abby, and I can all help her or you are welcome to take a mini vacation and use my house or Apt as yours.

Good news...I think it will give us an a chance to cheaply and quickly resolve and will almost certainly grant Tara the freedom to choose where she wants to live and broad custody rights even if conditioned on her treatment under CA law.

Let me know your thoughts,
Steve

From: Steve Russell sgrussell@pm.me
Subject: Re: Going forward
Date: June 22, 2018 at 4:30 PM
To: sw052382 sw052382@gmail.com

Understood, I can propose something like getting an apt next door to you AND an apt for her here and letting her split time between two as she chose as long as that was consistent with doctors orders, or I can insist on your home or a similarly supportive space here. Regardless it's going to be MUCH easier and less traumatic to get an agreement done in SF mediation than to start with side issue like jurisdiction and get everybody spun up and heading to NY family court.

----- Original Message -----

On June 22, 2018 4:20 PM, sw052382 <sw052382@gmail.com> wrote:

I am getting mixed messages as to Tara's intentions and will need to discuss further - we'd like her to commit to staying with us as we've discussed- I'll call you sometime tomorrow if that's okay

Sent from my Sprint Samsung Galaxy Note8.

----- Original message -----

From: Steve Russell <sgrussell@pm.me>
Date: 6/22/18 3:49 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: sw052382 <sw052382@gmail.com>
Subject: Going forward

Based on my conversations with Tara it's pretty clear that she intends on violating the CA restraining order and I think it might just be best to go to actual mediation next month to get this finished the fastest and create something lasting, clear, and enforceable.

Ideally you and I could simply draft something to submit to a mediator and avoid a lot of back and forth, but I also think it might be thearapudic in a way to let her have a venue to share her concerns openly and honestly. I don't mind.

And though her proposal didn't seem viable to me, there were some items in it that gave me a few ideas on how to structure a new version of what I sent you.

So bad news...I am going to seek to enforce the restraining order and go to mediation. That means Tara and Baby would need to come back to SF for End of June / July at least during the mediation. My bother, his wife, mom, Abby, and I can all help her or you are welcome to take a mini vacation and use my house or Apt as yours.

Good news...I think it will give us an a chance to cheaply and quickly resolve and will almost certainly grant Tara the freedom to choose where she wants to live and broad custody rights even if conditioned on her treatment under CA law.

Let me know your thoughts,
Steve